arXiv:1508.00185v1 [math.DS] 2 Aug 2015

Conditional Variational Principle for Historic Set in Some Nonuniformly Hyperbolic Systems

Zheng Yin[1], Ercai Chen[*1,2]

1 School of Mathematical Sciences and Institute of Mathematics, Nanjing Normal University,

Nanjing 210023, Jiangsu, P.R.China

2 Center of Nonlinear Science, Nanjing University,

Nanjing 210093, Jiangsu, P.R.China.

e-mail: zhengyinmail@126.com ecchen@njnu.edu.cn

Abstract. This article is devoted to the study of the historic set, which was introduced by Ruelle, of Birkhoff averges in some nonuniformly hyperbolic systems via Pesin theory. Particularly, we give a conditional variational principle for historic sets. Our results can be applied (i) to the diffeomorphisms on surfaces, (ii) to the nonuniformly hyperbolic diffeomorphisms described by Katok and several other classes of diffeomorphisms derived from Anosov systems.

Keywords and phrases: Historic set, Pesin set, topological entropy.

1 Introduction

(M,d,f) (or (M,f) for short) is a topological dynamical system means that (M,d) is a compact metric space together with a continuous self-map $f:M\to M$. For a continuous function $\varphi:M\to\mathbb{R}$, M can be divided into the following two parts:

$$M=\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{R}}M(\varphi,\alpha)\cup\widehat{M}(\varphi,f),$$

where

$$M(\varphi,\alpha)=\left\{x\in M:\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\varphi(f^ix)=\alpha\right\}$$

---

* Corresponding author

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 37D25, 37D35, 37C40

and

$$\widehat{M}(\varphi, f) = \left\{ x \in M : \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi(f^i x) \text{ does not exist} \right\}.$$

The level set $M(\varphi, \alpha)$ is so-called multifractal decomposition sets of ergodic averages of φ in multifractal analysis. There are fruitful results about the description of the structure (Hausdorff dimension or topological entropy or topological pressure) of these level sets. See e.g. [3, 4, 10, 11, 18, 21, 24, 25, 30] and the references therein.

The set $\widehat{M}(\varphi, f)$ is called the historic set of ergodic average of φ. This terminology was introduced by Ruelle in [23]. It is also called non-typical points (see [5]), irregular set (see [26, 27]) and divergence points (see [9]). By Birkhoff's ergodic theorem, $\widehat{M}(\varphi, f)$ is not detectable from the point of view of an invariant measure, i.e., for any invariant measure μ,

$$\mu(\widehat{M}(\varphi, f)) = 0.$$

However, Chen, Kupper and Shu [9] proved that $\widehat{X}(\varphi, f)$ is either empty or carries full entropy for maps with the specification property. Thompson [26] extended it to topological pressure for maps with the specification property. In [27], Thompson obtained the same result for maps with g-almost product property, which can be applied to every β-shift. This implies that $\widehat{M}(\varphi, f)$ is "thick" in view of topological entropy and topological pressure. Recently, Bomfim and Varandas [7] studied the upper bound estimates for topological pressure of historic sets for weak Gibbs measures. Motivated by their work, the aim of this paper is to study the topological entropy of historic set in some nonuniformly hyperbolic systems via Pesin theory. Particularly, a conditional variational principle is obtained for historic sets.

This article is organized as follows. In section 2, we provide some notions and results of Pesin theory and state the main result. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of the main results. Examples and applications are given in section 4.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we first present some notations to be used in this paper. Then we introduce some notions and results of Pesin theory [2, 15, 22] and state the main results.

We denote by $\mathscr{M}_{\text{inv}}(M, f)$ and $\mathscr{M}_{\text{erg}}(M, f)$ the set of all f-invariant Borel probability measures and ergodic measures respectively. For an f-invariant subset $Z \subset X$, let $\mathscr{M}_{\text{inv}}(Z, f)$ denote the subset of $\mathscr{M}_{\text{inv}}(M, f)$ for which the measures μ satisfy $\mu(Z) = 1$ and $\mathscr{M}_{\text{erg}}(Z, f)$ denote those which are ergodic. Denote by $C^0(M)$ the space of continuous functions from M to $\mathbb{R}$ with the sup norm. For $\varphi \in C^0(M)$ and $n \geq 1$ we denote $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\varphi(f^i x)$ by $S_n\varphi(x)$. For every $\epsilon > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a point $x \in M$, define $B_n(x,\epsilon) = \{y \in M : d(f^i x, f^i y) < \epsilon, \forall 0 \le i \le n-1\}$. The n-ordered empirical measure of x is given by

$$\mathscr{E}_n(x) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\delta_{f^i x},$$

where δ_y is the Dirac mass at y. Denote by $V(x)$ the set of limit measures of the sequence of measures $\mathscr{E}_n(x)$.

Suppose M is a compact connected boundary-less Riemannian n-dimension manifold and $f : X \to X$ is a $C^{1+\alpha}$ diffeomorphism. Let $\mu \in \mathscr{M}_{\text{erg}}(Z,f)$ and Df_x denote the tangent map of f at $x \in M$. We say that $x \in X$ is a regular point of f if there exist $\lambda_1(\mu) > \lambda_2(\mu) > \cdots > \lambda_{\phi(\mu)}(\mu)$ and a decomposition on the tangent space $T_x M = E_1(x) \oplus \cdots \oplus E_{\phi(\mu)}(x)$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\log\|(Df_x^n)u\| = \lambda_j(x),$$

where $0 \ne u \in E_j(x), 1 \le j \le \phi(\mu)$. The number $\lambda_j(x)$ and the space $E_j(x)$ are called the Lyapunov exponents and the eigenspaces of f at the regular point x, respectively. Oseledets theorem [17] say that all regular points forms a Borel set with total measure. For a regular point $x \in M$, we define

$$\lambda^+(\mu) = \min\{\lambda_i(\mu) | \lambda_i(\mu) \ge 0, 1 \le i \le \phi(\mu)\}$$

and

$$\lambda^-(\mu) = \min\{-\lambda_i(\mu) | \lambda_i(\mu) \le 0, 1 \le i \le \phi(\mu)\}.$$

We appoint $\min\emptyset = 0$. An ergodic measure μ is hyperbolic if $\lambda^+(\mu)$ and $\lambda^-(\mu)$ are both non-zero.

Definition 2.1. *Given $\beta_1, \beta_2 \gg \epsilon > 0$ and for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, the hyperbolic block $\Lambda_k = \Lambda_k(\beta_1,\beta_2,\epsilon)$ consists of all points $x \in M$ such that there exists a decomposition $T_x M = E_x^s \oplus E_x^u$ satisfying:*

- $Df^t(E_x^s) = E_{f^t x}^s$ *and* $Df^t(E_x^u) = E_{f^t x}^u$;
- $\|Df^n | E_{f^t x}^s\| \le e^{\epsilon k} e^{-(\beta_1-\epsilon)n} e^{\epsilon|t|}, \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}, n \ge 1$;
- $\|Df^{-n} | E_{f^t x}^u\| \le e^{\epsilon k} e^{-(\beta_2-\epsilon)n} e^{\epsilon|t|}, \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}, n \ge 1$;
- $\tan(\angle(E_{f^t x}^s, E_{f^t x}^u)) \ge e^{-\epsilon k} e^{-\epsilon|t|}, \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 2.2. $\Lambda(\beta_1,\beta_2,\epsilon) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}\Lambda_k(\beta_1,\beta_2,\epsilon)$ *is a Pesin set.*

The following statements are elementary properties of Pesin blocks (see [22]):

(1) $\Lambda_1 \subseteq \Lambda_2 \subseteq \cdots$;

(2) $f(\Lambda_k) \subseteq \Lambda_{k+1}, f^{-1}(\Lambda_k) \subseteq \Lambda_{k+1}$;

(3) Λ_k is compact for each $k \geq 1$;

(4) For each $k \geq 1$, the splitting $\Lambda_k \ni x \mapsto E_x^s \oplus E_x^u$ is continuous.

The Pesin set $\Lambda(\beta_1, \beta_2, \epsilon)$ is an f-invariant set but usually not compact. Given an ergodic measure $\mu \in \mathscr{M}_{\text{erg}}(M, f)$, denote by $\mu|\Lambda_l$ the conditional measure of μ on Λ_l. Let $\widetilde{\Lambda}_l = \text{supp}(\mu|\Lambda_l)$ and $\widetilde{\Lambda}_\mu = \bigcup_{l\geq 1} \widetilde{\Lambda}_l$. If ω is an ergodic hyperbolic measure for f and $\beta_1 \leq \lambda^-(\omega)$ and $\beta_2 \leq \lambda^+(\omega)$, then $\omega \in \mathscr{M}_{\text{inv}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_\omega, f)$.

Let $\{\delta_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers. Let $\{x_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of points in $\Lambda = \Lambda(\beta_1, \beta_2, \epsilon)$ for which there exists a sequence $\{s_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ of positive integers satisfying:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{(a) } x_n \in \Lambda_{s_n}, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z};\\ &\text{(b) } |s_n - s_{n-1}| \leq 1, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z};\\ &\text{(c) } d(f(x_n), x_{n+1}) \leq \delta_{s_n}, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, \end{aligned}$$

then we call $\{x_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ a $\{\delta_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ pseudo-orbit. Given $\eta > 0$ a point $x \in M$ is an η-shadowing point for the $\{\delta_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ pseudo-orbit if $d(f^n(x), x_n) \leq \eta\epsilon_{s_n}, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\epsilon_k = \epsilon_0 e^{-\epsilon k}$ and ϵ_0 is a constant only dependent on the system of f.

Weak shadowing lemma. [12, 15, 22] *Let $f : M \to M$ be a $C^{1+\alpha}$ diffeomorphism, with a non-empty Pesin set $\Lambda = \Lambda(\beta_1, \beta_2, \epsilon)$ and fixed parameters, $\beta_1, \beta_2 \gg \epsilon > 0$. For $\eta > 0$ there exists a sequence $\{\delta_k\}$ such that for any $\{\delta_k\}$ pseudo-orbit there exists a unique η-shadowing point.*

Bowen's topological entropy Bowen introduced his concept of topological entropy in [8]. This study defines it in an alternative way for convenience [19]. Given $Z \subset M, \epsilon > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\Gamma_n(Z, \epsilon)$ be the collection of all finite or countable covers of Z by sets of the form $B_n(x, \epsilon)$ with $n \geq N$. For each $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we set

$$m(Z, s, N, \epsilon) = \inf\left\{ \sum_{B_n(x,\epsilon)\in\mathcal{C}} e^{-ns} : \mathcal{C} \in \Gamma_n(Z, \epsilon)\right\},$$

and

$$m(Z, s, \epsilon) = \lim_{N\to\infty} m(Z, s, N, \epsilon).$$

Define

$$h_{top}(Z, \epsilon) = \inf\{s \in \mathbb{R} : m(Z, s, \epsilon) = 0\} = \sup\{s \in \mathbb{R} : m(Z, s, \epsilon) = \infty\},$$

and topological entropy of Z is

$$h_{top}(Z) := \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} h_{top}(Z, \epsilon).$$

Now, we state the main result of this paper as follows:

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f : M \to M$ be a $C^{1+\alpha}$ diffeomorphism of a compact Riemannian manifold, with a non-empty Pesin set $\Lambda = \Lambda(\beta_1, \beta_2, \epsilon)$ and fixed parameters, $\beta_1, \beta_2 \gg \epsilon > 0$ and let $\mu \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{erg}}(M, f)$ be any ergodic measure. Let*

$$N(\widetilde{\Lambda}_\mu) = \{x \in M : V(x) \cap \mathscr{M}_{inv}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_\mu, f) \neq \emptyset\}. \tag{2.1}$$

For $\varphi \in C^0(M)$, one of the following conclusions is right.

1. *The function $\nu \mapsto \int \varphi d\nu$ is constant for $\nu \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{inv}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_\mu, f)$.*
2. *$\widehat{M}(\varphi | N(\widetilde{\Lambda}_\mu), f) \neq \emptyset$ and*

$$h_{top}\left(\widehat{M}(\varphi | N(\widetilde{\Lambda}_\mu), f)\right) = \sup\left\{h_\nu(f) : \nu \in \mathscr{M}_{inv}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_\mu, f)\right\},$$

where $\widehat{M}(\varphi | N(\widetilde{\Lambda}_\mu), f) = \widehat{M}(\varphi, f) \cap N(\widetilde{\Lambda}_\mu)$.

The condition (2.1) is motivated by the work of Pesin & Pitskel [20] and we do not require the measures are ergodic. From theorem 2.1, we obtain that if the function $\nu \mapsto \int \varphi d\nu$ is not constant for $\nu \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{inv}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_\mu, f)$, we have $\widehat{M}(\varphi, f) \neq \emptyset$ and

$$h_{top}(\widehat{M}(\varphi, f)) \geq \sup\left\{h_\nu(f) : \nu \in \mathscr{M}_{inv}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_\mu, f)\right\}.$$

Corollary 2.1. *Let $f : M \to M$ be a $C^{1+\alpha}$ diffeomorphism of a compact Riemannian manifold and let $\omega \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{erg}}(M, f)$ be a hyperbolic measure. For $\beta_1 \leq \lambda^-(\omega)$ and $\beta_2 \leq \lambda^+(\omega)$, let $\widetilde{\Lambda}_\omega = \bigcup_{l \geq 1} \mathrm{supp}(\omega | \Lambda_l(\beta_1, \beta_2, \epsilon))$. If $\varphi \in C^0(M)$, one of the following conclusions is right.*

1. *The function $\nu \mapsto \int \varphi d\nu$ is constant for $\nu \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{inv}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_\omega, f)$.*
2. *$\widehat{M}(\varphi | N(\widetilde{\Lambda}_\omega), f) \neq \emptyset$ and*

$$h_{top}(\widehat{M}(\varphi | N(\widetilde{\Lambda}_\omega), f)) = \sup\left\{h_\nu(f) : \nu \in \mathscr{M}_{inv}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_\omega, f)\right\}.$$

3 Proof of Main Result

In this section, we will verify theorem 2.1. To obtain the lower bound estimate we need to construct a suitable pseudo-orbit and a sequence of measures to apply entropy distribution principle. Our method is inspired by [16], [21] and [27].

3.1 Katok's Definition of Metric Entropy

We use the Katok's definition of Metric Entropy based on the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. [14] *Let (M,d) be a compact metric space, $f : M \to M$ be a continuous map and ν be an ergodic invariant measure. For $\epsilon > 0$, $\delta \in (0,1)$ let $N^\nu(n,\epsilon,\delta)$ denote the minimum number of ϵ-Bowen balls $B_n(x,\epsilon)$, which cover a set of ν-measure at least $1-\delta$. Then*

$$h_\nu(f) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\liminf_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\log N^\nu(n,\epsilon,\delta) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\log N^\nu(n,\epsilon,\delta).$$

Fix $\delta \in (0,1)$. For $\epsilon > 0$ and $\nu \in \mathscr{M}_{erg}(M,f)$, we define

$$h_\nu^{Kat}(f,\epsilon) := \liminf_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\log N^\nu(n,\epsilon,\delta).$$

Then by lemma 3.1,

$$h_\nu(f) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} h_\nu^{Kat}(f,\epsilon).$$

If ν is non-ergodic, we will define $h_\nu^{Kat}(f,\epsilon)$ by the ergodic decomposition of ν. The following lemma is necessary.

Lemma 3.2. *Fix $\epsilon, \delta > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the function $s : \mathscr{M}_{erg}(M,f) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\nu \mapsto N^\nu(n,\epsilon,\delta)$ is upper semi-continuous.*

Proof. Let $\nu_k \to \nu$. Let $a > N^\nu(n,\epsilon,\delta)$; then there exists a set S which (n,ϵ) span some set Z with $\nu(Z) > 1-\delta$ such that $a > \#S$, where $\#S$ denote the number of elements in S. If k is large enough, then $\nu_k(\bigcup_{x\in S} B_n(x,\epsilon)) > 1-\delta$, which implies that

$$a > N^{\nu_k}(n,\epsilon,\delta).$$

Thus we obtain

$$N^\nu(n,\epsilon,\delta) \geq \limsup_{k\to\infty} N^{\nu_k}(n,\epsilon,\delta),$$

which completes the proof. □

Lemma 3.2 tells us that the function $\overline{s} : \mathscr{M}_{erg}(M,f) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\overline{s}(m) = h_m^{Kat}(f,\epsilon)$$

is measurable. Assume $\nu = \int_{\mathscr{M}_{erg}(M,f)} m d\tau(m)$ is the ergodic decomposition of ν. Define

$$h_\nu^{Kat}(f,\epsilon) := \int_{\mathscr{M}_{erg}(M,f)} h_m^{Kat}(f,\epsilon) d\tau(m).$$

By dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$h_\nu(f) = \int_{\mathscr{M}_{erg}(M,f)} \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} h_m^{Kat}(f,\epsilon) d\tau(m) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} h_\nu^{Kat}(f,\epsilon). \tag{3.2}$$

3.2 Some Lemmas

For $\mu, \nu \in \mathscr{M}(M)$, define a compatible metric D on $\mathscr{M}(M)$ as follows:

$$D(\mu, \nu) := \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{|\int \varphi_i d\mu - \int \varphi_i d\nu|}{2^{i+1}\|\varphi_i\|}$$

where $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is the dense subset of $C^0(M)$. It is obvious that $D(\mu, \nu) \leq 1$ for any $\mu, \nu \in \mathscr{M}(M)$.

Lemma 3.3. *Fix $\epsilon > 0$. For any integer $k \geq 1$ and invariant measure $\nu \in \mathscr{M}_{inv}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_\mu, f)$, there exists a finite convex combination of ergodic probability measures with rational coefficients $\mu_k = \sum\limits_{j=1}^{s_k} a_{k,j} m_{k,j}$ such that*

$$D(\nu, \mu_k) \leq \frac{1}{k}, m_{k,j}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_\mu) = 1, \text{ and } h_\nu^{Kat}(f, \epsilon) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{s_k} a_{k,j} h_{m_{k,j}}^{Kat}(f, \epsilon).$$

Proof. Let

$$\nu = \int_{\mathscr{M}_{erg}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_\mu, f)} m d\tau(m)$$

be the ergodic decomposition of ν. Choose N large enough such that

$$\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{2^{n+1}} < \frac{1}{3k}.$$

We choose $\zeta > 0$ such that $D(\nu_1, \nu_2) < \zeta$ implies that

$$\left| \int \varphi_n d\nu_1 - \int \varphi_n d\nu_2 \right| < \frac{\|\varphi_n\|}{3k}, n = 1, 2, \cdots, N.$$

Let $\{A_{k,1}, A_{k,2}, \cdots, A_{k,s_k}\}$ be a partition of $\mathscr{M}_{erg}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_\mu, f)$ with diameter smaller than ζ. For any $A_{k,j}$ there exists an ergodic $m_{k,j} \in A_{k,j}$ such that

$$\int_{A_{k,j}} h_m^{Kat}(f, \epsilon) d\tau(m) \leq \tau(A_{k,j}) h_{m_{k,j}}^{Kat}(f, \epsilon).$$

Obviously $m_{k,j}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_\mu) = 1$ and $h_\nu^{Kat}(f, \epsilon) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{s_k} \tau(A_{k,j}) h_{m_{k,j}}^{Kat}(f, \epsilon)$. Let us choose rational numbers $a_{k,j} > 0$ such that

$$|a_{k,j} - \tau(A_{k,j})| < \frac{1}{3ks_k}$$

and

$$h_\nu^{Kat}(f, \epsilon) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{s_k} a_{k,j} h_{m_{k,j}}^{Kat}(f, \epsilon).$$

Let

$$\mu_k = \sum_{j=1}^{s_k} a_{k,j} m_{k,j}.$$

By ergodic decomposition theorem, one can readily verify that

$$\left| \int \varphi_n d\nu - \int \varphi_n d\mu_k \right| \leq \frac{2\|\varphi_n\|}{3k}, n = 1, \cdots, N.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$D(\nu, \mu_k) \leq \frac{1}{k}.$$

□

Lemma 3.4. [6] *Let $f : M \to M$ be a C^1 diffeomorphism of a compact Riemannian manifold and $\mu \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{inv}}(M, f)$. Let $\Gamma \subseteq M$ be a measurable set with $\mu(\Gamma) > 0$ and let*

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f^n(\Gamma).$$

Take $\gamma > 0$. Then there exists a measurable function $N_0 : \Omega \to \mathbb{N}$ such that for a.e.$x \in \Omega$ and every $t \in [0, 1]$ there is some $l \in \{0, 1, \cdots, n\}$ such that $f^l(x) \in \Gamma$ and $|(l/n) - t| < \gamma$.

Lemma 3.5. *(Entropy Distribution Principle* [27]*) Let $f : M \to M$ be a continuous transformation. Let $Z \subseteq M$ be an arbitrary Borel set. Suppose there exists $\epsilon > 0$ and $s \geq 0$ such that one can find a sequence of Borel probability measures μ_k, a constant $K > 0$ and an integer N satisfying*

$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} \mu_k(B_n(x, \epsilon)) \leq K e^{-ns}$$

for every ball $B_n(x, \epsilon)$ such that $B_n(x, \epsilon) \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ and $n \geq N$. Furthermore, assume that at least one limit measure ν of the sequence μ_k satisfies $\nu(Z) > 0$. Then $h_{top}(Z, \epsilon) > s$.

3.3 Proof of Theorem 2.1

It suffices to consider the case that the function $\nu \mapsto \int \varphi d\nu$ is not constant for $\nu \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{inv}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_\mu, f)$. Fix small $0 < \gamma < 1$ and $0 < \delta < 1$. Let $\mathbf{C} := \sup\{h_\nu(f) : \nu \in \mathscr{M}_{inv}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_\mu, f)\}$. Obviously, $\mathbf{C}$ is finite. Choose $\mu_1 \in \mathscr{M}_{inv}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_\mu, f)$ such that

$$h_{\mu_1}(f) > \mathbf{C} - \gamma/3$$

and $\mu' \in \mathscr{M}_{inv}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_\mu, f)$ satisfies $\int \varphi d\mu_1 \neq \int \varphi d\mu'$. Let $\mu_2 = t_1\mu_1 + t_2\mu'$ where $t_1 + t_2 = 1$ and $t_1 \in (0, 1)$ is chosen sufficiently close to 1 so that

$$h_{\mu_2}(f) > \mathbf{C} - 2\gamma/3.$$

Obviously, $\int \varphi d\mu_1 \neq \int \varphi d\mu_2$. By (3.2), we can choose $\epsilon' > 0$ sufficiently small so

$$h_{\mu_1}^{Kat}(f, \epsilon') > \mathbf{C} - \gamma \text{ and } h_{\mu_2}^{Kat}(f, \epsilon') > \mathbf{C} - \gamma.$$

Let $\rho : \mathbb{N} \to \{1, 2\}$ be given by $\rho(k) = (k+1)(\text{mod } 2) + 1$. The following lemma can be easily obtained from lemma 3.3.

Lemma 3.6. *For any integer $k \geq 1$ and $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathscr{M}_{inv}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_\mu, f)$, there exists a finite convex combination of ergodic probability measures with rational coefficients $\nu_k = \sum_{j=1}^{s_k} a_{k,j} m_{k,j}$ such that*

$$D(\mu_{\rho(k)}, \nu_k) \leq \frac{1}{k}, m_{k,j}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_\mu) = 1, \text{ and } h_{\mu_{\rho(k)}}^{Kat}(f, \epsilon') \leq \sum_{j=1}^{s_k} a_{k,j} h_{m_{k,j}}^{Kat}(f, \epsilon').$$

We choose a increasing sequence $l_k \to \infty$ such that $m_{k,j}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{l_k}) > 1 - \gamma$ for all $1 \leq j \leq s_k$. Let $\eta = \frac{\epsilon'}{4\epsilon_0}$, it follows from weak shadowing lemma that there is a sequence of numbers $\{\delta_k\}$. Let ξ_k be a finite partition of X with $\text{diam}(\xi_k) < \frac{\delta_{l_k}}{3}$ and $\xi_k \geq \{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{l_k}, M \setminus \widetilde{\Lambda}_{l_k}\}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider the set

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^n(m_{k,j}) = \{x \in \widetilde{\Lambda}_{l_k} : f^q(x) \in \xi_k(x) \text{ for some } q \in [n, (1+\gamma)n] \\ \text{and } D(\mathscr{E}_m(x), m_{k,j}) < \frac{1}{k} \text{ for all } m \geq n\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_k(x)$ is the element in ξ_k containing x. By Birkhoff ergodic theorem and lemma 3.4 we have $m_{k,j}(\Lambda^n(m_{k,j})) \to m_{k,j}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{l_k})$ as $n \to \infty$. So, we can take $n_k \to \infty$ such that

$$m_{k,j}(\Lambda^n(m_{k,j})) > 1 - \delta$$

for all $n \geq n_k$ and $1 \leq j \leq s_k$.

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\Lambda^n(m_{k,j}), \epsilon') &= \inf\{\sharp S : S \text{ is } (n, \epsilon') \text{ spanning set for } \Lambda^n(m_{k,j})\}, \\ P(\Lambda^n(m_{k,j}), \epsilon') &= \sup\{\sharp S : S \text{ is } (n, \epsilon') \text{ separated set for } \Lambda^n(m_{k,j})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then for all $n \geq n_k$ and $1 \leq j \leq s_k$, we have

$$P(\Lambda^n(m_{k,j}), \epsilon') \geq Q(\Lambda^n(m_{k,j}), \epsilon') \geq N^{m_{k,j}}(n, \epsilon', \delta).$$

We obtain

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P(\Lambda^n(m_{k,j}), \epsilon') \geq h_{m_{k,j}}^{Kat}(f, \epsilon').$$

Thus for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we can choose t_k large enough such that $\exp(\gamma t_k) > \sharp \xi_k$ and

$$\frac{1}{t_k} \log P(\Lambda^{t_k}(m_{k,j}), \epsilon') > h_{m_{k,j}}^{Kat}(f, \epsilon') - \gamma$$

for $1 \leq j \leq s_k$. Let $S(k,j)$ be a (t_k, ϵ')-separated set for $\Lambda^{t_k}(m_{k,j})$ and

$$\#S(k,j) \geq \exp\left(t_k(h^{Kat}_{m_{k,j}}(f,\epsilon') - 2\gamma)\right).$$

For each $q \in [t_k, (1+\gamma)t_k]$, let

$$V_q = \{x \in S(k,j) : f^q(x) \in \xi_k(x)\}$$

and let $n = n(k,j)$ be the value of q which maximizes $\#V_q$. Obviously, $n \geq t_k$ and $t_k \geq \frac{n}{1+\gamma} \geq n(1-\gamma)$. Since $\exp(\gamma t_k) \geq \gamma t_k + 1$, we have that

$$\#V_n \geq \frac{\#S(k,j)}{\gamma t_k + 1} \geq \exp\left(t_k(h^{Kat}_{m_{k,j}}(f,\epsilon') - 3\gamma)\right).$$

Consider the element $A_n(m_{k,j}) \in \xi_k$ such that $\#(V_n \cap A_n(m_{k,j}))$ is maximal. Let $W_{n(k,j)} = V_{n(k,j)} \cap A_{n(k,j)}(m_{k,j})$. It follows that

$$\#W_{n(k,j)} \geq \frac{1}{\#\xi_k}\#V_n \geq \frac{1}{\#\xi_k}\exp\left(t_k(h^{Kat}_{m_{k,j}}(f,\epsilon') - 3\gamma)\right).$$

Since $\exp(\gamma t_k) > \sharp\xi_k$, $t_k \geq n(k,j)(1-\gamma)$ and $\#W_{n(k,j)} \geq 1$, we have

$$\#W_{n(k,j)} \geq \exp\left(n(k,j)(1-\gamma)(h^{Kat}_{m_{k,j}}(f,\epsilon') - 4\gamma)\right).$$

Notice that $A_{n(k,j)}(m_{k,j})$ is contained in an open set $U(k,j)$ with $\text{diam}(U(k,j)) \leq 3\text{diam}(\xi_k)$. By the ergodicity of μ, for any two measures m_{k_1,j_1}, m_{k_2,j_2} and any natural number N, there exists $s = s(k_1,j_1,k_2,j_2) > N$ and $y = y(k_1,j_1,k_2,j_2) \in U(k_1,j_1) \cap \widetilde{\Lambda}_{l_{k_1}}$ such that $f^s(y) \in U(k_2,j_2) \cap \widetilde{\Lambda}_{l_{k_2}}$. Letting $C_{k,j} = \frac{a_{k,j}}{n(k,j)}$, we can choose an integer N_k large enough so that $N_k C_{k,j}$ are integers and

$$N_k \geq k \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq k+1 \\ 1 \leq j_i \leq s_{r_i}, i=1,2}} s(r_1,j_1,r_2,j_2). \tag{3.3}$$

Let $X_k = \sum\limits_{j=1}^{s_k-1} s(k,j,k,j+1) + s(k,s_k,k,1)$ and

$$Y_k = \sum_{j=1}^{s_k} N_k n(k,j) C_{k,j} + X_k = N_k + X_k, \tag{3.4}$$

then we have

$$\frac{N_k}{Y_k} \geq \frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{k}} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{k}. \tag{3.5}$$

Choose a strictly increasing sequence $\{T_k\}$ with $T_k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$Y_{k+1} \leq \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{r=1}^{k} Y_r T_r, \sum_{r=1}^{k} (Y_r T_r + s(r,1,r+1,1)) \leq \frac{1}{k+1} Y_{k+1} T_{k+1}. \tag{3.6}$$

For $x \in X$, we define segments of orbits

$$\begin{aligned} L_{k,j}(x) &:= (x, f(x), \cdots, f^{n(k,j)-1}(x)), 1 \leq j \leq s_k, \\ \widehat{L}_{k_1,j_1,k_2,j_2}(x) &:= (x, f(x), \cdots, f^{s(k_1,j_1,k_2,j_2)-1}(x)), 1 \leq j_i \leq s_{k_i}, i = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the pseudo-orbit with finite length

$$\begin{aligned} O_k = O(&x(1,1,1,1), \cdots, x(1,1,1,N_1 C_{1,1}), \cdots, x(1,s_1,1,1), \cdots, x(1,s_1,1,N_1 C_{1,s_1}); \\ &\cdots; \\ &x(1,1,T_1,1), \cdots, x(1,1,T_1,N_1 C_{1,1}), \cdots, x(1,s_1,T_1,1), \cdots, x(1,s_1,T_1,N_1 C_{1,s_1}); \\ &\vdots \\ &x(k,1,1,1), \cdots, x(k,1,1,N_k C_{k,1}), \cdots, x(k,s_k,1,1), \cdots, x(k,s_k,1,N_k C_{k,s_k}); \\ &\cdots; \\ &x(k,1,T_k,1), \cdots, x(k,1,T_k,N_k C_{k,1}), \cdots, x(k,s_k,T_k,1), \cdots, x(k,s_k,T_k,N_k C_{k,s_k});) \end{aligned}$$

with the precise form as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\{L_{1,1}(x(1,1,1,1)),\cdots,L_{1,1}(x(1,1,1,N_1C_{1,1})),\widehat{L}_{1,1,1,2}(y(1,1,1,2));\\
&\ L_{1,2}(x(1,2,1,1)),\cdots,L_{1,2}(x(1,2,1,N_1C_{1,2})),\widehat{L}_{1,2,1,3}(y(1,2,1,3));\cdots,\\
&\ L_{1,s_1}(x(1,s_1,1,1)),\cdots,L_{1,s_1}(x(1,s_1,1,N_1C_{1,s_1})),\widehat{L}_{1,s_1,1,1}(y(1,s_1,1,1));\\
&\ \cdots,\\
&\ L_{1,1}(x(1,1,T_1,1)),\cdots,L_{1,1}(x(1,1,T_1,N_1C_{1,1})),\widehat{L}_{1,1,1,2}(y(1,1,1,2));\\
&\ L_{1,2}(x(1,2,T_1,1)),\cdots,L_{1,2}(x(1,2,T_1,N_1C_{1,2})),\widehat{L}_{1,2,1,3}(y(1,2,1,3));\cdots,\\
&\ L_{1,s_1}(x(1,s_1,T_1,1)),\cdots,L_{1,s_1}(x(1,s_1,T_1,N_1C_{1,s_1})),\widehat{L}_{1,s_1,1,1}(y(1,s_1,1,1));\\
&\ \widehat{L}(y(1,1,2,1));\\
&\ \vdots,\\
&\ L_{k,1}(x(k,1,1,1)),\cdots,L_{k,1}(x(k,1,1,N_kC_{k,1})),\widehat{L}_{k,1,k,2}(y(k,1,k,2));\\
&\ L_{k,2}(x(k,2,1,1)),\cdots,L_{k,2}(x(k,2,1,N_kC_{k,2})),\widehat{L}_{k,2,k,3}(y(k,2,k,3));\cdots\\
&\ L_{k,s_k}(x(k,s_k,1,1)),\cdots,L_{k,s_k}(x(k,s_k,1,N_kC_{k,s_k})),\widehat{L}_{k,s_k,k,1}(y(k,s_k,k,1));\\
&\ \cdots\\
&\ L_{k,1}(x(k,1,T_k,1)),\cdots,L_{k,1}(x(k,1,T_k,N_kC_{k,1})),\widehat{L}_{k,1,k,2}(y(k,1,k,2));\\
&\ L_{k,2}(x(k,2,T_k,1)),\cdots,L_{k,2}(x(k,2,T_k,N_kC_{k,2})),\widehat{L}_{k,2,k,3}(y(k,2,k,3));\cdots\\
&\ L_{k,s_k}(x(k,s_k,T_k,1)),\cdots,L_{k,s_k}(x(k,s_k,T_k,N_kC_{k,s_k})),\widehat{L}_{k,s_k,k,1}(y(k,s_k,k,1));\\
&\ \widehat{L}(y(k,1,k+1,1));\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x(q,j,i,t)\in W_{n(q,j)}$.

For $1\le q\le k, 1\le i\le T_q, 1\le j\le s_q, 1\le t\le N_qC_{q,j}$, let $M_1=0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_q=M_{q,1}&=\sum_{r=1}^{q-1}(T_rY_r+s(r,1,r+1,1)),\\
M_{q,i}=M_{q,i,1}&=M_q+(i-1)Y_q,\\
M_{q,i,j}=M_{q,i,j,1}&=M_{q,i}+\sum_{p=1}^{j-1}(N_qn(q,p)C_{q,p}+s(k,p,k,p+1)),\\
M_{q,i,j,t}&=M_{q,i,j}+(t-1)n(q,j).
\end{aligned}
$$

By weak shadowing lemma, there exist at least one shadowing point z of O_k such that

$$
d(f^{M_{q,i,j,t}+p}(z),f^p(x(q,j,i,t)))\le\eta\epsilon_0\exp(-\epsilon l_q)\le\frac{\epsilon'}{4\epsilon_0}\epsilon_0\exp(-\epsilon l_q)\le\frac{\epsilon'}{4},
$$

for $1\le q\le k, 1\le i\le T_q, 1\le j\le s_q, 1\le t\le N_qC_{q,j}, 1\le p\le n(q,j)-1$. Let $B(x(1,1,1,1),\cdots,x(k,s_k,T_k,N_kC_{k,s_k}))$ be the set of all shadowing points for the above pseudo-orbit. Precisely,

$$\begin{aligned}
&B(x(1,1,1,1),\cdots,x(k,s_k,T_k,N_kC_{k,s_k}))=\\
&B(x(1,1,1,1),\cdots,x(1,1,1,N_1C_{1,1},),\cdots,x(1,s_1,1,1),\cdots,x(1,s_1,1,N_1C_{1,s_1});\\
&\quad\cdots;\\
&\quad x(1,1,T_1,1),\cdots,x(1,1,T_1,N_1C_{1,1},),\cdots,x(1,s_1,T_1,1),\cdots,x(1,s_1,T_1,N_1C_{1,s_1});\\
&\quad\cdots;\\
&\quad x(k,1,T_1,1),\cdots,x(k,1,1,N_kC_{k,1},),\cdots,x(k,s_k,1,1),\cdots,x(k,s_k,1,N_kC_{k,s_k});\\
&\quad\cdots;\\
&\quad x(k,1,T_k,1),\cdots,x(k,1,T_k,N_kC_{k,1},),\cdots,x(k,s_k,T_k,1),\cdots,x(k,s_k,T_k,N_kC_{k,s_k})).
\end{aligned}$$

Then the set $B(x(1,1,1,1),\cdots,x(k,s_k,T_k,N_kC_{k,s_k}))$ can be considered as a map with variables $x(q,j,i,t)$. We define F_k by

$$\begin{aligned}
F_k=\bigcup\{&B(x(1,1,1,1),\cdots,x(k,s_k,T_k,N_kC_{k,s_k})):\\
&x(1,1,1,1)\in W_{n(1,1)},\cdots,x(k,s_k,T_k,N_kC_{k,s_k})\in W_{n(k,s_k)}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, F_k is non-empty compact and $F_{k+1}\subseteq F_k$. Define $F=\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty}F_k$.

Lemma 3.7. *For any* $z\in F$,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\mathscr{E}_{M_{2k}}(z)=\mu_1,\lim_{k\to\infty}\mathscr{E}_{M_{2k+1}}(z)=\mu_2,$$

where $M_q=\sum\limits_{r=1}^{q-1}(T_rY_r+s(r,1,r+1,1)),q=1,2,\cdots.$

Proof. It siffices to prove that for any $\psi\in C^0(M)$,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\left|\frac{1}{M_{k+1}}\sum_{i=0}^{M_{k+1}-1}\psi(f^iz)-\int\psi d\mu_{\rho(k)}\right|=0.$$

Assume that

$$z\in B(x(1,1,1,1),\cdots,x(k,s_k,T_k,N_kC_{k,s_k})).$$

For $c>0$, let $\mathrm{Var}(\psi,c)=\sup\{|\psi(x)-\psi(y)|:d(x,y)\le c\}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|S_{T_kY_k+s(k,1,k+1,1)}\psi(f^{M_k}(z))-(T_kY_k+s(k,1,k+1,1))\int\psi d\mu_{\rho(k)}\right|\\
\le&\left|S_{T_kY_k+s(k,1,k+1,1)}\psi(f^{M_k}(z))-T_kN_k\int\psi d\mu_{\rho(k)}\right|\\
&+|T_kN_k-(T_kY_k+s(k,1,k+1,1))|\,\|\psi\|\\
\le&\left|S_{T_kY_k}\psi(f^{M_k}(z))-T_kN_k\int\psi d\nu_k\right|+T_kN_k\left|\int\psi d\nu_k-\int\psi d\mu_{\rho(k)}\right|\\
&+s(k,1,k+1,1)\|\psi\|+|T_kN_k-(T_kY_k+s(k,1,k+1,1))|\,\|\psi\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $C_{k,j}n(k,j)=a_{k,j}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|S_{T_kY_k}\psi(f^{M_k}(z))-T_kN_k\int\psi d\nu_k\right|\\
=&\left|S_{T_kY_k}\psi(f^{M_k}(z))-T_k\sum_{j=1}^{s_k}N_kC_{k,j}n(k,j)\int\psi dm_{k,j}\right|\\
\leq&\left|\sum_{i=1}^{T_k}\sum_{j=1}^{s_k}\sum_{t=1}^{N_kC_{k,j}}S_{n(k,j)}\psi(f^{M_{k,i,j,t}}(z))-T_k\sum_{j=1}^{s_k}N_kC_{k,j}n(k,j)\int\psi dm_{k,j}\right|+T_kX_k\|\psi\|\\
\leq&\left|\sum_{i=1}^{T_k}\sum_{j=1}^{s_k}\sum_{t=1}^{N_kC_{k,j}}\sum_{q=0}^{n(k,j)-1}\psi(f^{M_{k,i,j,t}+q}(z))-\sum_{i=1}^{T_k}\sum_{j=1}^{s_k}\sum_{t=1}^{N_kC_{k,j}}\sum_{q=0}^{n(k,j)-1}\psi(f^q(x(k,j,i,t)))\right|\\
&+\sum_{i=1}^{T_k}\sum_{j=1}^{s_k}\sum_{t=1}^{N_kC_{k,j}}n(k,j)\left|\frac{1}{n(k,j)}S_{n(k,j)}\psi(x(k,j,i,t))-\int\psi dm_{k,j}\right|+T_kX_k\|\psi\|\\
\leq&\sum_{i=1}^{T_k}\sum_{j=1}^{s_k}\sum_{t=1}^{N_kC_{k,j}}n(k,j)\left|\frac{1}{n(k,j)}S_{n(k,j)}\psi(x(k,j,i,t))-\int\psi dm_{k,j}\right|\\
&+T_kY_k\mathrm{Var}(\psi,\frac{\epsilon'}{4}\exp(-\epsilon l_k))+T_kX_k\|\psi\|.
\end{aligned}$$

By

$$D(\mu_{\rho(k)},\nu_k)\leq\frac{1}{k},D(\mathscr{E}_{n(k,j)}(x(k,j,i,t)),m_{k,j})<\frac{1}{k},$$

and inqualities (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5), we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\left|\frac{S_{T_kY_k+s(k,1,k+1,1)}\psi(f^{M_k}(z))}{T_kY_k+s(k,1,k+1,1)}-\int\psi d\mu_k\right|=0.$$

One can readily verify that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{T_kY_k+s(k,1,k+1,1)}{M_{k+1}}=1.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{1}{M_{k+1}}S_{M_{k+1}}\psi(z)-\frac{S_{T_kY_k+s(k,1,k+1,1)}\psi(f^{M_k}(z))}{T_kY_k+s(k,1,k+1,1)}\right|\\
=&\left|\frac{1}{M_{k+1}}S_{M_k}\psi(z)+\frac{S_{T_kY_k+s(k,1,k+1,1)}\psi(f^{M_k}(z))}{M_{k+1}}-\frac{S_{T_kY_k+s(k,1,k+1,1)}\psi(f^{M_k}(z))}{T_kY_k+s(k,1,k+1,1)}\right|\\
=&\left|\frac{1}{M_{k+1}}S_{M_k}\psi(z)+\frac{S_{T_kY_k+s(k,1,k+1,1)}\psi(f^{M_k}(z))}{T_kY_k+s(k,1,k+1,1)}\left(\frac{T_kY_k+s(k,1,k+1,1)}{M_{k+1}}-1\right)\right|\\
\leq&\frac{M_k}{M_{k+1}}\|\psi\|+\|\psi\|\left|\frac{T_kY_k+s(k,1,k+1,1)}{M_{k+1}}-1\right|,
\end{aligned}$$

we deduce that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\left|\frac{1}{M_{k+1}}S_{M_{k+1}}\psi(z)-\int\psi d\mu_k\right|=0,$$

which completes the proof. □

From lemma 3.7, we have $F\subset\widehat{M}(\varphi,f)\cap N(\widetilde{\Lambda}_\mu)$. Next, we construct a sequence of measures to compute the topological entropy of F. We first undertake an intermediate constructions. For each

$$\underline{x}=(x(1,1,1,1),\cdots,x(k,s_k,T_k,N_kC_{k,s_k}))\in W_{n(1,1)}\times\cdots\times W_{n(k,s_k)},$$

we choose one point $z=z(\underline{x})$ such that

$$z\in B(x(1,1,1,1),\cdots,x(k,s_k,T_k,N_kC_{k,s_k}))$$

Let L_k be the set of all points constructed in this way. Fix the position indexed m,j,i,t, for distinct $x(m,j,i,t),x'(m,j,i,t)\in W_{n(m,j)}$, the corresponding shadowing points z,z' satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}&d(f^{M_{m,i,j,t}+q}(z),f^{M_{m,i,j,t}+q}(z'))\\ \geq& d(f^q(x(m,j,i,t)),f^q(x'(m,j,i,t)))-d(f^{M_{m,i,j,t}+q}(z),f^q(x(m,j,i,t)))\\ &-d(f^{M_{m,i,j,t}+q}(z'),f^q(x'(m,j,i,t)))\\ \geq& d(f^q(x(m,j,i,t)),f^q(x'(m,j,i,t)))-\frac{\epsilon'}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Noticing that $x(m,j,i,t),x'(m,j,i,t)$ are $(n(m,j),\epsilon')$-separated, we obtain $f^{M_{m,i,j,t}}(z)$, $f^{M_{m,i,j,t}}(z')$ are $(n(m,j),\epsilon'/2)$-separated. Thus

$$\sharp L_k=(\sharp W_{n(1,1)}^{N_1C_{1,1}}\sharp W_{n(1,2)}^{N_1C_{1,2}}\cdots\sharp W_{n(1,s_1)}^{N_1C_{1,s_1}})^{T_1}\cdots(\sharp W_{n(k,1)}^{N_kC_{k,1}}\sharp W_{n(k,2)}^{N_kC_{k,2}}\cdots\sharp W_{n(k,s_k)}^{N_kC_{k,s_k}})^{T_k}.$$

We now define, for each k, an atomic measure centred on L_k. Precisely, let

$$\alpha_k=\frac{\sum_{z\in L_k}\delta_z}{\sharp L_k}$$

In order to prove the main results of this paper, we present some lemmas.

Lemma 3.8. *Suppose ν is a limit measure of the sequence of probability measures α_k. Then $\nu(F)=1$.*

Proof. Suppose $\nu=\lim_{k\to\infty}\alpha_{l_k}$ for $l_k\to\infty$. For any fixed l and all $p\geq 0$, $\alpha_{l+p}(F_l)=1$ since $F_{l+p}\subset F_l$. Thus, $\nu(F_l)\geq\limsup_{k\to\infty}\alpha_{l_k}(F_l)=1$. It follows that $\nu(F)=\lim_{l\to\infty}\nu(F_l)=1$. □

Let $\mathcal{B} = B_n(x, \frac{\epsilon'}{8})$ be an arbitrary ball which intersets F. Let k be an unique number satisfies $M_{k+1} \leq n < M_{k+2}$. Let $i \in \{1, \cdots, T_{k+1}\}$ be the unique number so

$$M_{k+1,i} \leq n < M_{k+1,i+1}.$$

Here we appoint $M_{k+1,T_{k+1}+1} = M_{k+2,1}$. We assume that $i \geq 2$, the simpler case $i = 1$ is similar.

Lemma 3.9. *For $p \geq 1$,*

$$\alpha_{k+p}(B_n(x, \frac{\epsilon'}{8})) \leq (\sharp L_k(\sharp W_{n(k+1,1)}^{N_{k+1}C_{k+1,1}} \sharp W_{n(k+1,2)}^{N_{k+1}C_{k+1,2}} \cdots \sharp W_{n(k+1,s_{k+1})}^{N_{k+1}C_{k+1,s_{k+1}}})^{i-1})^{-1}.$$

Proof. Case $p = 1$. Suppose $\alpha_{k+1}(B_n(x, \frac{\epsilon'}{8})) > 0$, then $L_{k+1} \cap B_n(x, \frac{\epsilon'}{8}) \neq \emptyset$. Let $z = z(\underline{x}, \underline{x}_{k+1}), z' = z(\underline{y}, \underline{y}_{k+1}) \in L_{k+1} \cap B_n(x, \frac{\epsilon'}{8})$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{x} &= (x(1,1,1,1), \cdots, x(k, s_k, T_k, N_k C_{k,s_k})), \\ \underline{y} &= (y(1,1,1,1), \cdots, y(k, s_k, T_k, N_k C_{k,s_k})), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{x}_{k+1} = (&x(k+1,1,1,1), \cdots, x(k+1, s_{k+1}, i-1, N_{k+1}C_{k+1,s_{k+1}}), \\ &\cdots, x(k+1, s_{k+1}, T_k, N_{k+1}C_{k+1,s_{k+1}})) \\ \underline{y}_{k+1} = (&y(k+1,1,1,1), \cdots, y(k+1, s_{k+1}, i-1, N_{k+1}C_{k+1,s_{k+1}}) \\ &\cdots, y(k+1, s_{k+1}, T_k, N_{k+1}C_{k+1,s_{k+1}})). \end{aligned}$$

Since $d_n(z, z') < \frac{\epsilon'}{4}$, we have $\underline{x} = \underline{y}$ and $x(k+1,1,1,1) = y(k+1,1,1,1), \cdots, x(k+1, s_{k+1}, i-1, N_{k+1}C_{k+1,s_{k+1}}) = y(k+1, s_{k+1}, i-1, N_{k+1}C_{k+1,s_{k+1}})$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{k+1}(B_n(x, \frac{\epsilon'}{8})) \leq & \frac{(\sharp W_{n(k+1,1)}^{N_{k+1}C_{k+1,1}} \sharp W_{n(k+1,2)}^{N_{k+1}C_{k+1,2}} \cdots \sharp W_{n(k+1,s_{k+1})}^{N_{k+1}C_{k+1,s_{k+1}}})^{T_{k+1}-(i-1)}}{\sharp L_{k+1}} \\ = & \left(\sharp L_k(\sharp W_{n(k+1,1)}^{N_{k+1}C_{k+1,1}} \sharp W_{n(k+1,2)}^{N_{k+1}C_{k+1,2}} \cdots \sharp W_{n(k+1,s_{k+1})}^{N_{k+1}C_{k+1,s_{k+1}}})^{i-1}\right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Case $p > 1$ is similar. □

Since $a_{l,j} = n(l,j)C_{l,j}$,

$$\sharp W_{n(l,j)} \geq \exp\left(n(l,j)(1-\gamma)(h_{m_{l,j}}^{Kat}(f, \epsilon') - 4\gamma)\right),$$

by lemma 3.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sharp L_k &= \left(\sharp W_{n(1,1)}^{N_1C_{1,1}}\sharp W_{n(1,2)}^{N_1C_{1,2}}\cdots\sharp W_{n(1,s_1)}^{N_1C_{1,s_1}}\right)^{T_1}\cdots\left(\sharp W_{n(k,1)}^{N_kC_{k,1}}\sharp W_{n(k,2)}^{N_kC_{k,2}}\cdots\sharp W_{n(k,s_k)}^{N_kC_{k,s_k}}\right)^{T_k}\\
&\geq \exp\left(\sum_{l=1}^{k}\sum_{j=1}^{s_l}T_lN_lC_{l,j}n(l,j)(1-\gamma)(h_{m_{l,j}}^{Kat}(f,\epsilon')-4\gamma)\right)\\
&\geq \exp\left(\sum_{l=1}^{k}T_lN_l(1-\gamma)(h_{\mu_{\rho(l)}}^{Kat}(f,\epsilon')-4\gamma)\right)\\
&\geq \exp\left(\sum_{l=1}^{k}T_lN_l(1-\gamma)(\mathbf{C}-5\gamma)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\sharp W_{n(k+1,1)}^{N_{k+1}C_{k+1,1}}\sharp W_{n(k+1,2)}^{N_{k+1}C_{k+1,2}}\cdots\sharp W_{n(k+1,s_{k+1})}^{N_{k+1}C_{k+1,s_{k+1}}}\right)^{i-1}\\
&\geq \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{s_{k+1}}(i-1)N_{k+1}C_{k+1,j}n(k+1,j)(1-\gamma)(h_{m_{k+1,j}}^{Kat}(f,\epsilon')-4\gamma)\right)\\
&\geq \exp\left((i-1)N_{k+1}(1-\gamma)(\mathbf{C}-5\gamma)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sharp L_k\left(\sharp W_{n(k+1,1)}^{N_{k+1}C_{k+1,1}}\sharp W_{n(k+1,2)}^{N_{k+1}C_{k+1,2}}\cdots\sharp W_{n(k+1,s_{k+1})}^{N_{k+1}C_{k+1,s_{k+1}}}\right)^{i-1}\\
&\geq \exp\left\{\sum_{l=1}^{k}T_lN_l(1-\gamma)(\mathbf{C}-5\gamma)+(i-1)N_{k+1}(1-\gamma)(\mathbf{C}-5\gamma)\right\}\\
&= \exp\left\{\left(\sum_{l=1}^{k}T_lN_l+(i-1)N_{k+1}\right)(\mathbf{C}-5\gamma)(1-\gamma)\right\}\\
&= \exp\left\{n\left((\mathbf{C}-5\gamma)(1-\gamma)-\frac{n-\sum_{l=1}^{k}T_lN_l-(i-1)N_{k+1}}{n}(\mathbf{C}-5\gamma)(1-\gamma)\right)\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.4) and $i\geq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
n-\sum_{l=1}^{k}T_lN_l-(i-1)N_{k+1} &= n-\sum_{l=1}^{k}T_lY_l-(i-1)Y_{k+1}+\sum_{l=1}^{k}T_lX_l+(i-1)X_{k+1}\\
&\leq Y_{k+1}+\sum_{r=1}^{k+1}s(r,1,r+1,1)+\sum_{l=1}^{k}T_lX_l+(i-1)X_{k+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

By inqualities (3.3), (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) and $i\geq 2$, we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{n-\sum_{l=1}^{k}T_lN_l-(i-1)N_{k+1}}{n}(\mathbf{C}-5\gamma)(1-\gamma)=0.$$

Thus for sufficiently large n, we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{m\to\infty}\alpha_m(B_n(x,\frac{\epsilon'}{8})) \leq& (\sharp L_k(\sharp W_{n(k+1,1)}^{N_{k+1}C_{k+1,1}}\sharp W_{n(k+1,2)}^{N_{k+1}C_{k+1,2}}\cdots\sharp W_{n(k+1,s_{k+1})}^{N_{k+1}C_{k+1,s_{k+1}}})^{i-1})^{-1}\\ &\leq \exp\{-n((\mathbf{C}-5\gamma)(1-\gamma)-\gamma)\}.\end{aligned}$$

Applying the entropy distribution principle, we have

$$h_{top}(\widehat{M}(\varphi|N(\widetilde{\Lambda}_\mu),f),\frac{\epsilon'}{8}) \geq h_{top}(F,\frac{\epsilon'}{8}) \geq (\mathbf{C}-5\gamma)(1-\gamma)-\gamma.$$

Let $\epsilon'\to 0$ and $\gamma\to 0$; we have

$$h_{top}\left(\widehat{M}(\varphi|N(\widetilde{\Lambda}_\mu),f)\right) \geq \sup\left\{h_\nu(f):\nu\in\mathscr{M}_{inv}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_\mu,f)\right\}.$$

To obtain the upper bound, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.10. [8] *For $t\geq 0$, consider the set*

$$B(t)=\{x\in M:\exists\nu\in V(x) \text{ satisfying } h_\nu(f)\leq t\}.$$

Then $h_{top}(B(t))\leq t$.

Let

$$t=\sup\left\{h_\nu(f):\nu\in\mathscr{M}_{inv}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_\mu,f)\right\}.$$

Then $\widehat{M}(\varphi|N(\widetilde{\Lambda}_\mu),f)\subset B(t)$. Thus

$$h_{top}\left(\widehat{M}(\varphi|N(\widetilde{\Lambda}_\mu),f)\right) \leq \sup\left\{h_\nu(f):\nu\in\mathscr{M}_{inv}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_\mu,f)\right\},$$

and the proof of theorem 2.1 is completed.

4 Some Applications

Example 1 Diffeomorphisms on surfaces Let $f:M\to M$ be a $C^{1+\alpha}$ diffeomorphism with $\dim M=2$ and $h_{top}(f)>0$, then there exists a hyperbolic measure $m\in\mathscr{M}_{\text{erg}}(M,f)$ with Lyapunov exponents $\lambda_1>0>\lambda_2$(see [22]). If $\beta_1=|\lambda_2|$ and $\beta_2=\lambda_1$, then for any $\epsilon>0$ such that $\beta_1,\beta_2>\epsilon$, we have $m(\Lambda(\beta_1,\beta_2,\epsilon))=1$. Let

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}=\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}\text{supp}(m|\Lambda(\beta_1,\beta_2,\epsilon)).$$

If $\varphi\in C^0(M)$, one of the following conclusions is right.

1. The function $\mu\mapsto\int\varphi d\mu$ is constant for $\mu\in\mathscr{M}_{\text{inv}}(\widetilde{\Lambda},f)$.

2. $\widehat{M}(\varphi|N(\widetilde{\Lambda}), f) \neq \emptyset$ and $h_{top}\left(\widehat{M}(\varphi|N(\widetilde{\Lambda}), f)\right) = \sup\left\{h_\mu(f) : \mu \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{inv}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}, f)\right\}$.

Example 2 Nonuniformly hyperbolic systems In [13], Katok described a construction of a diffeomorphism on the 2-torus $\mathbb{T}^2$ with nonzero Lyapunov exponents, which is not an Anosov map. Let f_0 be a linear automorphism given by the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with eigenvalues $\lambda^{-1} < 1 < \lambda$. f_0 has a maximal measure μ_1. Let D_r denote the disk of radius r centered at (0,0), where $r > 0$ is small, and put coordinates (s_1, s_2) on D_r corresponding to the eigendirections of A, i.e, $A(s_1, s_2) = (\lambda s_1, \lambda^{-1} s_2)$. The map A is the time-1 map of the local flow in D_r generated by the following system of differential equations:

$$\frac{ds_1}{dt} = s_1 \log \lambda, \frac{ds_2}{dt} = -s_2 \log \lambda.$$

The Katok map is obtained from A by slowing down these equations near the origin. It depends upon a real-valued function ψ, which is defined on the unit interval $[0, 1]$ and has the following properties:

(1) ψ is C^∞ except at 0;

(2) $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\psi(u) = 1$ for $u \geq r_0$ where $0 < r_0 < 1$;

(3) $\psi'(u) > 0$ for every $0 < u < r_0$;

(4) $\int_0^1 \frac{du}{\psi(u)} < \infty$.

Fix sufficiently small numbers $r_0 < r_1$ and consider the time-1 map g generated by the following system of differential equations in D_{r_1}:

$$\frac{ds_1}{dt} = s_1 \psi(s_1^2 + s_2^2) \log \lambda, \frac{ds_2}{dt} = -s_2 \psi(s_1^2 + s_2^2) \log \lambda.$$

The map f, given as $f(x) = g(x)$ if $x \in D_{r_1}$ and $f(x) = A(x)$ otherwise, defines a homeomorphism of torus, which is a C^∞ diffeomorphism everywhere except for the origin. To provide the differentiability of map f, the function ψ must satisfy some extra conditions. Namely, the integral $\int_0^1 du/\psi$ must converge "very slowly" near the origin. We refer the smoothness to [13]. Here f is contained in the C^0 closure of Anosov diffeomorphisms and even more there is a homeomorphism $\pi : \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{T}^2$ such that $\pi \circ f_0 = f \circ \pi$. Let $\nu_0 = \pi_* \mu_1$.

In [16], the authors proved that there exist $0 < \epsilon \ll \beta$ and a neighborhood U of ν_0 in $\mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathbb{T}^2, f)$ such that for any ergodic $\nu \in U$ it holds that $\nu \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{inv}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}(\beta, \beta, \epsilon), f)$, where $\widetilde{\Lambda}(\beta, \beta, \epsilon) = \bigcup_{k \geq 1} \mathrm{supp}(\nu_0 | \Lambda_k(\beta, \beta, \epsilon))$.

Corollary 4.1. *If $\varphi \in C^0(\mathbb{T}^2)$, one of the following conclusions is right.*

1. *The function $\mu \mapsto \int \varphi d\mu$ is constant for $\mu \in \mathscr{M}_{\rm inv}(\widetilde{\Lambda}(\beta,\beta,\epsilon), f)$.*
2. *$\widehat{\mathbb{T}^2}(\varphi|N(\widetilde{\Lambda}(\beta,\beta,\epsilon)), f) \neq \emptyset$ and*

$$h_{top}\left(\widehat{\mathbb{T}^2}(\varphi|N(\widetilde{\Lambda}(\beta,\beta,\epsilon)), f)\right) = \sup\left\{h_\mu(f) : \mu \in \mathscr{M}_{\rm inv}(\widetilde{\Lambda}(\beta,\beta,\epsilon), f)\right\}.$$

In [16], the authors also studied the structure of Pesin set $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ for the robustly transitive partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms described by Mañé and the robustly transitive non-partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms described by Bonatti-Viana. They showed that for the diffeomorphisms derived from Anosov systems $\mathscr{M}_{\rm inv}(\widetilde{\Lambda}, f)$ enjoys many members. So our result is applicable to these maps.

Acknowledgements. The research was supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (Grant No. 2013CB834100) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11271191).

References

[1] L. Barreira & Y. B. Pesin, Lyapunov exponents and smooth ergodic theory, Univ. Lect. Series, 23, Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, (2002).

[2] L. Barreira & Y. B. Pesin, Nonuniform hyperbolicity: Dynamics of systems with nonzero Lyapunov exponents, Cambridge Press, (2007).

[3] L. Barreira & B. Saussol, Variational principles and mixed multifractal spectra, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **353** (2001), 3919-3944.

[4] L. Barreira, B. Saussol & J. Schmeling, Higher-dimensional multifractal analysis, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **81** (2002), 67-91.

[5] L. Barreira & J. Schmeling, Sets of non-typical points have full topological entropy and full Hausdorff dimension, *Israel J. Math.* **116** (2000), 29-70.

[6] J. Bochi, Genericity of zero Lyapunov exponents, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **22** (2002), 1667-1696.

[7] T. Bomfim & P. Varandas, Multifractal analysis for weak Gibbs measures: from large deviations to irregular sets, to appear in Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems.

[8] R. Bowen, Topological entropy for noncompact sets, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **184** (1973), 125-136.

[9] E. Chen, T. Kupper & L. Shu, Topological entropy for divergence points, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **25** (2005), 1173-1208.

[10] V. Climenhaga, Topological pressure of simultaneous level sets, *Nonlinearity* **26** (2013), 241-268.

[11] D. J. Feng, W. Huang, Lyapunov spectrum of asymptotically sub-additive potentials, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **297** 2010, 1-43.

[12] M. Hirayama, Periodic probability measures are dense in the set of invariant measures, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **9** (2003), 1185-1192.

[13] A. Katok, Bernoulli Diffeomorphisms on Surfaces, *Annals of Math.* (2) **110** (1979), 529-547.

[14] A. Katok, Lyapunov exponents, entropy and periodic points for diffeomorphism, *Publ. Math. IHÉS* **51** (1980), 137-173.

[15] A. Katok & B. Hasselblatt, Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications 54, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, (1995).

[16] C. Liang, G. Liao, W. Sun & X. Tian, Saturated sets for nonuniformly hyperbolic systems, arXiv:1110.6091v1.

[17] V. I. Oseledec, A multiplicative ergodic theorem, *Trans. Mosc. Math. Soc.* **19** (1969), 197-231.

[18] Y. Pei & E. Chen, On the variational principle for the topological pressure for certain non-compact sets, *Sci. China Ser. A* **53(4)** (2010), 1117-1128.

[19] Y. B. Pesin, Dimension Theory in Dynamical Systems, Contemporary Views and Applications, Univ. of Chicago Press, (1997).

[20] Ya. Pesin & B. Pitskel, Topological pressure and the variational principle for noncompact sets, *Functional Anal. Appl.* **18** (1984), 307-318.

[21] C.-E. Pfister & W.G. Sullivan, On the topological entropy of saturated sets, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **27** (2007) 929-956.

[22] M. Pollicott, Lectures on ergodic theory and Pesin theory on compact manifolds, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, (1993).

[23] D. Ruelle, Historic behaviour in smooth dynamical systems, In H.W. Broer, B. Krauskopf & G. Vegter, editors, *Global Analysis of Dynamical Systems.* Bristol: Institute of Physics publishing, (2001).

[24] F. Takens & E. Verbitskiy, On the variational principle for the topological entropy of certain non-compact sets, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **23(1)** (2003) 317-348.

[25] D. Thompson, Irregular sets and conditional variational principles in dynamical systems, submitted to the University of Warwick for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy, (2009).

[26] D. Thompson, The irregular set for maps with the specification property has full topological pressure, *Dynamical Systems: An International Journal* **25(1)** (2010) 25-51.

[27] D. Thompson, Irregular sets, the beta-transformation and the almost specification property, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **364** (2012) 5395-5414.

[28] P. Varandas, Non-uniform specification and large deviations for weak Gibbs measures, *J. Stat. Phys.* **146** (2012), 330-358.

[29] L. Young. Large deviations in dynamical systems, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **318** (1990), 525-543.

[30] X. Zhou & E. Chen, Multifractal analysis for the historic set in topological dynamical systems, *Nonlinearity* **26** (2013), 1975-1997.